

**FY 2015 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM  
Staff Report**

<b>Project: Lake Superior Community Health Center</b>					<b>Application Number:</b> 15-PS-02, Page 107	
<b>Consolidated Plan Objective:</b> Objective #18, Support provision of primary health and dental care for uninsured or underinsured in the community.						
<b>FY 2015 Goal:</b> 6,300		<b>Amount Requested:</b> \$60,000		<b>Performance Indicator:</b> People		
<b>Previous Awards and Spending Rates (as of 3/31/12 in the 2011 CAPER):</b>						
	<b>Award</b>	<b>Expended</b>	<b>Balance</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>	(Verified) <b>%LMI</b>
<b>2013</b>	\$62,890	\$62,890	0	6,300	5,772	92%
<b>2012</b>	\$66,480	\$66,480	0	6,300	5,105	94%
<b>2011</b>	\$74,536	\$74,536	0	2,700	4,467	96%

**1. Consolidated Plan Priority**

Con Plan Community Development Goals:

- Health care services has been identified as a high priority, 'basic need' under the past Consolidated Plans. However, for the 2015 Con Plan year, health care was reduced to a Medium priority because there are significantly more resources available for low-income persons through the Affordable Health Care Act.

**2. Project Readiness**

Timely Completion/Expenditure of Funds:

- This is an ongoing project, so it will be ready to start should they receive funding
- Project has always completed by the CDBG year-end date.

Additional Actions Needed: None.

**3. Project Impact and Delivery**

Achievement of Expected Results:

- Applicant explains significance of need and provides supporting statistics that relate to the need.
- This is a city-wide program and its expected service impact to LMI persons is 93%.

Target Clientele:

- Direct Benefit of less than 100% but at least 85% to LMI persons.

Outcome Measurements: Monitoring Score: 20.2

- Program was close to meeting the 2013 service goal (met at least 80%).
- Application reports a 23% decrease in the number of clients served between 2010 and 2013.

Number of Persons/Households to Benefit:

- The total budget amount is \$4,190,083, which equates to \$665 per person.
- The CDBG request of \$60,000 equates to < \$10 per person.

Business/Operations Plan Approach:

- The proposal appears to identify most of the major critical issues and factors, but does not adequately explain how issues will be resolved.
- The business plan identifies the instability of funds and rising costs of providing health care. It also states that the “vast majority (85%) of dental patients are already on public insurance coverage”, but does not explain how these issues may be resolved other than “continue to look for opportunities” to be response to the community.
- The applicant didn’t provide adequate information about gaps not covered under ACHA.
- Regarding trends, applicant reports a 23% decrease in the number of clients served between 2010 and 2013.

#### **4. Financial Considerations**

Sufficiency and Leveraging of Resources:

- Funding needs are clearly identified and address project requirements, but no other resources have been secured at this time (>1:1 ratio).
- Majority of funding appears to be government funding and likely secured through reimbursements.
- Agency resources have increased from \$995,000 in 2010 to \$4,421,710 in 2014.

Financial Support and Viability:

- The audited financials show that the applicant has sufficient long-term resources to ensure the ongoing operation of this project—with or without CDBG funds.
- No concerns or weaknesses were identified in the audit report.

Project Budget Detail/Use of Funds:

- Project activity costs are itemized and appear reasonable.
- CDBG grant funds will be used in a cost-effective manner.

#### **5. Applicant Attributes**

Project /Program Management Ability and Capacity:

- The applicant has the necessary competencies, skill set, capacity, experience, and qualifications to successfully management and complete the project.

Past Performance/Experience:

- Applicant has extensive experience with grant funds and federal funding programs.
- LSCHC has been directly involved in 5 or more federally funded projects with past years.
- This project has been timely, complete and accurate with CDBG reporting requirements.

Quality of Application:

- The application is well written; the narrative is clear and logical, and concise with appropriate statistical information.

#### **BONUS POINTS - 0 Points**

Collaborative or Joint Application: Not applicable.