

**FY 2016 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM
Staff Report**

Project: Family Transitional Housing – The Salvation Army				Application Number: 16-HO-04, Page 157		
Consolidated Plan Objective: Reduce Homelessness, Provide Special Need Housing						
FY 2016 Goal: 100 Persons		Amount Requested: \$5,250 CDBG \$5,250 ESG		Performance Indicator: Persons		
Previous Awards and Spending Rates (as of 3/31/15 in the 2014 CAPER):						
	Award	Expended	Balance	Goal	Actual	(Verified) %LMI
2014	\$ 13,500 CDBG	\$13,500 CDBG	0	100	82	100%
	\$16,710 ESG	\$16,710 ESG				
2013	\$16,730 CDBG	\$16,730 CDBG	0	100	78	100%
	\$24,468 ESG	\$24,468 ESG				
2012	\$17,616 CDBG	\$17,616 CDBG	0	100	92	100%
	\$26,606 ESG	\$26,606 ESG				

1. Consolidated Plan Priority

Con Plan Community Development Goals:

- Providing Special Needs Housing for people who are either one or more of the following: chronically homeless, have disabilities, have families, have mental health issues, or have addictions is listed as a High Priority in the Consolidated Plan.

2. Project Readiness

- This is an on-going project that has annually started on-time and completed expenditure of CDBG and ESG funds by the end of the program year.
- Additional Actions Needed: None

3. Project Impact and Delivery

Achievement of Expected Results:

- The application describes the significance of this need and provides statistics to substantiate it.

Target Clientele:

- 100% participants will be low-income, homeless families with children.

Outcome Measurements:

- Salvation Army has not been meeting their goal; this may be a question for the CD Committee.

- The applicant has well developed outcomes that are in-line with ESG and Continuum of Care outcomes, however, the application does not provide statistics on past outcome achievements.
- The CD Committee score from evaluation was 8 out of 10.

Number of Persons/Households to Benefit:

- The 2016 goal of serving 100 homeless persons results in a Per capita cost of \$1-\$5000 per person.

Business/Operations Plan Approach:

- The Business/Operations Plan focused on issues such as staying current with homeless system requirements and pursuing alternative funding sources under the ESG request. Somewhat addresses activities to resolve these issues.
- This section does not address Coordinated Assessment issues impacting all homeless housing and service providers, or how existing CDBG and ESG funds might be used to support its implementation.

4. Financial Considerations

Sufficiency and Leveraging of Resources:

- The budget narrative shows the remaining program funds are secured.
- Ratio of program funds to CDBG/ESG funds is >3:1

Fiscal Support and Viability:

- No audit findings and applicant appears to have more than sufficient amount of financial resources necessary to assure the operating viability of this project.

Project Budget Detail/Use of Funds:

- The project budget appears to be accurate, comprehensive and detailed.

5. Applicant Attributes

Project /Program Management Ability and Capacity:

- The applicant has been providing Transitional Housing and supportive services to homeless families for years and annually demonstrates the necessary competencies, capacity and experience to successfully manage and the transitional housing project. The CD Committee score from evaluation was 5.38 out of 6.

Past Performance/Experience:

- The applicant has had past experience with grant funds and other federal funding programs. The CD Committee score from evaluation was 4 out of 5.

Quality of Application:

- The application is logical, clear and well written.

BONUS POINTS

Collaborative or Joint Application: Not Applicable