

**FY 2016 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM
Staff Report**

Project: Supportive Housing Programs Center City Housing Corp.				Application Number: 16-HO-05 Page 165		
Consolidated Plan Objective: Reduce Homelessness, Provide Special Need Housing						
FY 2016 Goal: 131 Persons		Amount Requested: \$20,000 ESG \$25,000 CDBG		Performance Indicator: Persons		
Previous Awards and Spending Rates (as of 3/31/15 in the 2014 CAPER):						
	Award	Expended	Balance	Goal	Actual	(Verified) %LMI
2014	\$27,500 CDBG	Yes	0	117	173	100
	\$26,000 ESG	Yes				
2013	\$18,810 CDBG	Yes	0	91	92	100
	\$15,280 ESG	Yes				
2012	\$66,177 CDBG	Yes	0	150	130	100
	\$30,622 ESG	Yes				

1. Consolidated Plan Priority

Con Plan Community Development Goals:

- Providing Special Needs Housing for people who are either one or more of the following: chronically homeless, have disabilities, have families, have mental health issues, or have addictions, is listed as a High Priority in the Consolidated Plan

2. Project Readiness

Timely Completion/Expenditure of Funds:

- This is an ongoing program and would be able to start on April 1, 2016.

Additional Actions Needed: None

3. Project Impact and Delivery

Achievement of Expected Results:

- The application describes the significance of this need and provides statistics to substantiate it. Information from the Wilder Foundation needs assessment clearly defines the barriers faced by homeless families and youth.

Target Clientele:

- 100% participants will be low income. Evidence is cited that shows a clear understanding of the target clientele and their needs.

Outcome Measurements:

- Previous objectives were met, exceeded, or circumstances adequately explained if not met.
- Permanent supportive and transitional housing have very different outcomes requirements.
- The CD Committee score from evaluation was 8 out of 10.

Number of Persons/Households to Benefit:

- Per capita cost is \$487.86 for 131 persons.

Business/Operations Plan Approach:

- Application identifies service quality, funding and staff training as key to ongoing success.

4. Financial Considerations

Sufficiency and Leveraging of Resources:

- There are \$8.18 for every dollar requested (>3:1 ratio)
- Majority of the other sources of funding has been secured.

Fiscal Support and Viability:

- No audit findings, payment requests adequate with timely corrections when needed.
- Financial information indicates sufficient amount of resources.

Project Budget Detail/Use of Funds:

- The project budget appears to be accurate, comprehensive and detailed.

5. Applicant Attributes

Project /Program Management Ability and Capacity:

- Based on both program's history, it appears they have the necessary competencies, skill set, capacity, experience, and qualifications to successfully management and complete the project. The CD Committee score from evaluation was 5.75 out of 6.

Past Performance/Experience:

- The applicant has extensive past experience with grant funds and other federal funding programs. The CD Committee score from evaluation was 4.6 out of 5.

Quality of Application:

- The application is logical and clear.

BONUS POINTS - Not Applicable