



Community Planning Division
Duluth City Hall, Room 332
411 West 1st Street, Duluth, MN 55802
www.duluthmn.gov/community-planning

Draft – June 13, 2016

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

Fiscal Year 2015

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Purpose and Organization

The purpose of the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) is to outline the 2015 accomplishments in the City's Community Development and Housing programs. This work was undertaken in large part through three major funding programs provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD):

- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program
- HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME)
- Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESGP)

The 2015 CAPER differs from past CAPER reports. Most notably, HUD has shifted to an electronic CAPER submittal format. This submittal relies on the City's regular inputs to the HUD Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) and provides output into a format that summarizes and consolidates all available data from the applicable program year. 2015 is the first year CAPER will be submitted electronically, and HUD has acknowledged that the output report may be partial or imperfect due to systems issues that are undergoing changes. The electronic report differs significantly from past CAPERs in both form and the level of explanation for program activities.

If, upon review of the attached report, the reviewer has questions regarding any of the content or the data provided, the reviewer is invited to contact the City of Duluth Community Planning Division at www.duluthmn.gov/community-planning or at (218) 722-5540. City Staff will be happy to provide additional explanation and information to any parties seeking further detail.

Total City of Duluth funding from the CDBG, HOME, and ESGP programs during the 2015 Fiscal Year was as follows:

- CDBG:
- HOME:
- ESGP:

Table of Contents

CR-05 – Goals and Outlines.....1

CR-10 – Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted.....6

CR-15 – Resources and Investments.....7

CR-20 – Affordable Housing.....12

CR-25 – Homeless & Other Special Needs.....15

CR-30 – Public Housing.....18

CR-35 – Other Actions.....19

CR-40 – Monitoring.....22

CR-45 – CDBG.....23

CR-50 – HOME.....24

CR-60 – ESG.....26

CR-65 – Persons Assisted.....28

CR-70 – Assistance Provided and Outcomes.....32

CR-75 – Expenditures.....33

Appendices:

[Page Intentionally Left Blank]

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Progress is being made to carry out the strategic plan. Accomplishments are shown in CR-05. In general, housing production is less than anticipated, however there are major multiunit rehab projects funded that will be completed in the coming year that will allow us to meet the 5 year goals. Four public facility projects were underway but not completed in FY 2015. In Economic Development, the Duluth at Work program, additional people are currently being trained. After the training is complete, then they will be able to be employed in this multi year program. For the Homeless data, statistics are being entered into the HMIS system, therefore this information is not yet compatible with IDIS and there is a separate HMIS report that is attached to this report. The Public Service goal was exceeded. With the Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), the number of persons utilizing vouchers is down in 2015, with 13 households served out of a goal of 22. With the improvement in the economy, there is a much tighter rental market.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected - Strategic Plan	Actual - Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected - Program Year	Actual - Program Year	Percent Complete
Affordable Home Owner Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$475000 / HOME: \$300806	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%			

Affordable Home Owner Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$475000 / HOME: \$300806	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	725	5	0.69%	12	5	41.67%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	300	0	0.00%	10	0	0.00%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	250	56	22.40%	70	56	80.00%
Affordable Rental Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Other	Other	0	0		1	0	0.00%
Community Development Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	100	0	0.00%			

Community Development Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	1	0	0.00%					
Community Public Facilities	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		5605	0			0.00%
Community Public Facilities	Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	7	0	0.00%					
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	163	17	10.43%	34	17			50.00%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	40	8	20.00%	8	8			100.00%
Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$161168 / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	6000	2031	33.85%	1000	0			0.00%
Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$161168 / ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		150	0			0.00%

Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$161168 / ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	300	72	24.00%	500	0	0.00%
Neighborhood Revitalization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	10	0	0.00%			
Neighborhood Revitalization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	0	0		1	1	100.00%
Public Services	Homeless Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	19400	25383	130.84%	19400	25383	130.84%
Public Services	Homeless Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	104670	2031	1.94%			
Tenant Based Rental Assistance	Homeless	HOME: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	350	13	3.71%	20	13	65.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

All of the seven consolidated plan priorities received funding in 2015. The priorities are: Affordable Rental Housing, Affordable Owner Occupied Housing, Special Needs Housing, Community Structural Public Facilities, Community Non-Structural Public Facilities, Public Services, Economic Development, and Homelessness. CBDG funds are prioritized for Public Facilities, Public Services, Economic Development. Although also

awarded for housing and homeless services, HOME program funds and ESG funds play a significant role in supporting these priorities.

CAPER

5

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	15,317	81	693
Black or African American	4,138	33	321
Asian	175	4	6
American Indian or American Native	3,708	10	270
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	14	0	8
Total	23,352	128	1,298
Hispanic	403	0	34
Not Hispanic	22,949	128	1,248

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Due to a computer system error, not all of the racial codes are displayed, including racial codes that contain more than one race. This information is incomplete. This section will be updated when system error is corrected.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		8,840,840	864,247
HOME		1,923,584	182,073
ESG		793,220	107,561

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

NA- The City of Duluth does not have Target Areas.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

When sub-grantees agencies submit applications for funding, they describe the amount of funding they receive from other funding sources and how the funds will be used to address specific needs. There were no publically owned lands used for match requirements.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	2,165,692
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	2,165,692
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	163,391
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	2,002,301

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year									
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match	

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period	Amount received during reporting period	Total amount expended during reporting period	Amount expended for TBRA	Balance on hand at end of reporting period
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	29,225	29,225	0	0	0	0
Number	1	1	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	10	10	0			
Dollar Amount	31,093	31,093	0			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	1,650	2,031
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	1,650	2,031

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	22	13
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	10	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	85	49
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	2	6
Total	119	68

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The goal of providing homeless households with housing units includes all families and individuals provided homeless services. In 2015, we reached 85% of the annual goal. As the first year of the 5 year Consolidated Plan, we will monitor whether or not this becomes a trend and adjust program funds if necessary.

The City has provided HOME funds for tenant-based rental assistance for a number of years. The number of persons receiving vouchers is down in 2015, with 13 households served out of a goal of 22. With the improvement in the economy, there is a much tighter rental market. Rents have increased significantly, and property owners are less interested in participating in rental voucher programs. It has

become difficult to find an affordable apartment where the management will accept a voucher, resulting in increased turnbacks of vouchers and fewer people housed.

During 2015, Lutheran Social Services was delayed in securing funding from the State of Minnesota for their Changing Lives rental development. Funds have now been secured, and a ground breaking was held in May. This project will result in 20 new units for homeless and at-risk youth, to be constructed in the coming year. Therefore, we anticipate exceeding the 2016 FY goal in this category, and will be on track to meet the 5 year goal.

The production of rehab of existing units was 58% of the goal. This number includes rehab of single family homes and rental properties. There is a 150 unit rental property that will be rehabbed in 2016, which will again put us on track to meet the 5 year goal. Planning for that rental rehab is well underway with construction beginning in August 2016.

One Roof Community Housing, a sub-grantee, has acquired 6 units to rehab, and then resell, to eligible households. This is above the goal of 2 units for the year.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The actual units completed in 2015 are somewhat less than the annual goals outlined in the Consolidated Plan. However, there are major projects that have been funded and will be under way that will increase units significantly next year. There may be an impact in the future from the decrease in usage of tenant-based rental vouchers. The turn back of vouchers due to inability to find appropriate apartments is of concern and solutions to this problem will be discussed with the coordinated entry and continuum of care groups. This could result in changes to future action plans.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	0
Low-income	0	0
Moderate-income	0	0

Total	0	0
-------	---	---

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

Due to a computer error, income information is not displayed. This information will be corrected.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The City of Duluth continues to partner with Saint Louis County in creating a plan to end homelessness. Service providers in Duluth in addition to other parts of the county are dedicated to identifying and locating individuals and families that are in need and connecting them to resources that can help to stabilize their situations.

Three organizations in Duluth conduct street outreach (not paid for by ESG funds) to track homeless camps, and work with clients that are living in places not suited for housing. Outreach worker's goal is to connect with clients, gain their trust and bring them to their organization so that they can further triage their needs and connect them to services.

Working with United Way's 211 Program within the COC, service providers are provided referrals connecting them to clients that outreach workers may not be seeing because of where the client is staying. Couch hopping, or families staying with friends of family provide a visual barrier to identify how these individuals could be helped. Once 211 sends the referral to case managers, every effort is made to connect with the client(s) and begin creating an individualized case plan.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The City developed a benchmark system to track the progress of people who enter emergency shelters and transitional housing programs. This activity tracks progress 6 months after a person leaves a shelter or transitional housing. In addition, in 2015, City of Duluth sub recipients served individuals and families through ESG funded services. Homeless providers had access to the ESG Flex Fund in order to prevent additional cases of homelessness. Case managers worked with individuals and families to take the VI-SPDAT assessment, and based on their score help begin the process of identifying housing opportunities that fit the need of each household. Agencies that provide ESG prevention assistance include: AICHO, CHUM, Life House, Safe Haven and Salvation Army. The City of Duluth continues contracting with the the Duluth Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA) to conduct associated prevention payments to landlords and utility payments, in addition to conducting inspections of ESG prevention and rapid-rehousing units.

Case managers from the agencies listed above attend weekly meetings to discuss cases among their peers. Cases are discussed to further triage how to work with clients in need of housing, and gain insight

from those that have experience with this population. This group also discusses cases being processed through the Family Homeless Prevention and Assistance Program (FHPAP) which has been awarded through the State of Minnesota. Processing these cases in tandem has proven to be a best practice with case managers throughout the country.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid being homeless continues to be a high priority with the City of Duluth and its sub recipients. As a community, we are proud to have a variety of service providers that are able to handle the growth, and provide wrap-around care to prevent as many of these individuals and families from becoming homeless as possible.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The City funds public services activities to serve basic needs, these include the Hunger project (for food), Lake Superior Health Care (for health care), and various housing programs. In addition, the City funds different Duluth at Work programs to match potential employees with employers. The Duluth at Work program is made of different cohorts to best address a person's need and potential. In addition, chronic homeless activities are carried out through several service providers in Duluth and funded primarily through the COC Program and the State of Minnesota's Long Term Homelessness Program. Policies supported by the State of Minnesota encourage providers that have supportive housing units open to provide them to chronically homeless individuals and families first before serving newly homeless clients. Service providers in Duluth collaborate with each other to identify those that are chronic and keep in touch with them often in case a unit was to become available. Once in supportive housing, wrap-around case-through case management is provided in an effort to keep the individual housed and from being homeless again.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Chronic homeless activities are carried out through several service providers in Duluth and funded primarily through the COC Program and the State of Minnesota's Long Term Homelessness Program. Policies supported by the State of Minnesota encourage providers that have supportive housing units open to provide them to chronically homeless individuals and families first before serving newly homeless clients. Service providers in Duluth collaborate with each other to identify those that are chronic and keep in touch with them often in case a unit was to become available. Once in supportive housing, wrap-around case through case management is provided in an effort to keep the individual housed and from being homeless again.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The Duluth HRA maintained its HUD-designated High Performer status in the past year, including designation as a high performer under HUD's Capital Fund program, meaning capital improvement funding provided by this grant was both obligated and spent within HUD required deadlines during the year. Consequently, many capital improvement projects were undertaken and completed. The HRA also has an excellent work order system and preventative maintenance program to keep properties in good working order. The HRA also continued to participate in the risk control program offered through its insurance company, Housing Authority Insurance. Furthermore, the HRA also applied for and was awarded \$456,000 in general obligation bond funding provided by the Minnesota Housing Finance Agency (MHFA) during the year to do major energy conservation improvements at its Grandview Manor high rise. The HRA also continued its due diligence under its proposed Rental Assistance Demonstration Program (RAD) for some of its public housing properties, which would, among other things, provide additional capital improvement funding. This continues to be an important potential resource for property improvements for some high rises and scattered site units and certain due diligence on this continued this year, along with discussion with MHFA on needed gap financing and other issues.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The HRA held regular meetings during the year with its Resident Advisory Board (RAB), and also promotes resident attendance at high rise resident club meetings. Staff are made available to attend Club meetings as warranted and requested. The HRA also has both a self-sufficiency program and a Section 8 Homeownership program, both of which were also promoted during the year. The HRA also has a Public Housing Outreach program which provides various information and referral of interest to residents on a regular basis.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

NA

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

In 2015, the City demolished 13 blighted properties. A number of those properties were damaged in the 2012 flood, and redevelopment of the site is prohibited because of the location in a flood zone. On sites with the potential for redevelopment, all waste was removed. CDBG and HOME funded rehab projects were completed, with all code and lead-based paint issues addressed, and the housing units assessed for healthy home deficiencies. One Roof acquired, rehabbed and sold six homes that were formerly vacant or foreclosed properties in the Central and East Hillside, Lincoln Park and West Duluth neighborhoods. Need for a local determination is reviewed annually. Energy efficiency was increased by at least 20% by rehab projects in existing homes. HOME Program funds were used to provide rental subsidies for homeless individuals and families, and those with multiple barriers to securing adequate housing. City and St. Louis County staff had preliminary discussions about eliminating the re-instatement of special assessments on tax forfeit lots with redevelopment potential. The City was successful in securing another Legacy Fund grant to extend the survey of historic properties into the Lincoln Park neighborhood. The survey includes both residential and commercial properties. The establishment of inclusionary affordable housing as part of the City's zoning policies will be considered in the updating of the Comprehensive Plan. The timeframe for tax forfeiture has been reduced significantly. City and County staff continue to work on revising policies to allow for faster redevelopment of tax forfeit land.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CDBG funds were used to secure a site for the development of the Center for Changing Lives, a Lutheran Social Service building that will provide homeless youth with services, transitional and permanent housing. In the coming year, HOME funds will assist in the construction of this new building located in the East Hillside. CDBG funds have also been awarded for the construction of a greenhouse, promoted by the West Duluth and Lincoln Park Food Access and Education initiative. Tenant-based rental assistance was provided to help homeless, formerly homeless and "hard to house" person secure affordable housing. This assistance contributes to the coordinated entry system in Duluth.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Lead Paint:

The Duluth HRA cleared 76 housing units during the year, which included CDBG, HOME, State and federal lead hazard demonstration funded rehab projects. An additional 9 land trust homes were

cleared, for a total of 85 units. The City of Duluth, in partnership with the Duluth HRA, in 2013 applied for and received \$2,418,395 in HUD Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control grant Program funds. These funds continued the work to address lead paint in Duluth's old housing stock.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Support by CDBG funds, Duluth at Work helps low income people find jobs and increase their income. A collaboration of four agencies, with support from the City of Duluth and Local Initiatives Support Corporation, Duluth at Work prepares individuals for employment at for-profit business and helps low income business owners to increase their revenue. In 2015, people secured jobs and business owners increased their annual earnings by 25% or more.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In 2015, the Tenant Landlord Connection (formerly called the Housing Access Center) was established in the Central Hillside Community building, managed and staffed by One Roof. The mission of the TLC is to educate landlords and tenants regarding their rights and responsibilities, mediate disputes and avoid evictions whenever possible, provide Ready to Rent classes for students and hopeful tenants without a positive rental history, and refer person experiencing discrimination to Fair Housing entities. During the year, the TLC serves tenants and landlords.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City and St. Louis County (SLC) worked together to secure federal, state and local resources and to ensure coordination of services for homeless families and individuals. During the year, both of the primary staff persons from the City and SLC who had lead the effort to implement the continuum of care left their jobs for new endeavors. Additional staff were hired at the City and County to provide leadership for the 10- Year Plan to End Homelessness. Also, the Duluth HRA took on the management of the coordinated entry system in Duluth. These personnel changes have provided new direction for coordinated entry, the continuum of care process, and oversight by the Heading Home SLC Leadership Council. Relationships with the emergency shelters, transitional housing and other housing and homeless service provider agencies have been strengthened, and cooperation between all of the entities working within the continuum has increased.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The two major impediments identified in the fair housing choice analysis are 1) lack of information and education and 2) insufficient data to support enforcement. The City funded the Tenant Landlord Connection (TLC) to educate tenants and landlords about their rights and provide mediation services to reduce evictions and fair housing violations. A fair housing and reasonable accommodations training is scheduled for July 2016 and all sub-recipients are required to attend. The City's long time Human Rights Office retired recently and the hiring process for a new Human Rights Officer is currently in progress. The City will have an opportunity to shape the role of the new Human Rights Officer to better manage the collection and dissemination of fair housing data to better identify and address gaps in fair housing enforcement.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Each community development project is monitored annually, either through an on-site inspection and review of client records or through a program desk-monitoring. For 2015, City staff conducted on-site monitoring visits for ten CDBG and ESG projects, and five HOME funded rental projects. An additional twelve projects received desk monitoring reviews. Annually, a financial monitoring is conducted on programs that administer revolving loan funds on behalf of the City. At the onsite visits, staff verified that program activities are meeting a national objective fulfilling all federal and state regulations serving homeless people, operating rental properties within rent and income limits, and that funds are expended appropriately on eligible activities. All CDBG, HOME and ESG funded programs receive this type of monitoring based upon a risk assessment.

There were no findings or concerns generated by the monitoring of FY 2015 program year activities. All projects met regulations and standards, as well as eligible activity expenditure tests.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The CAPER was made available for public review on June 13, 2016, with hard copies in the City of Duluth Clerk's Office, the Community Development Office, and the Duluth Public Library. The CAPER was also available for review online on the Community Development page of the City's website www.duluthmn.gov. A public hearing scheduled on June 21, 2016, conducted by the Community Development Committee in accordance with the Citizen Participation Plan.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

There are no changes at this time.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No
--	----

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

In total, there are nine rental properties that have received HOME funds still within the affordability period. Of these, five rental properties required onsite physical inspections and file review. The Duluth HRA provides building inspection services, as their staff are certified Building Inspectors and Lead-Based Paint assessors. The inspected properties were:

Duluth Triplex (18 W 5th Street)	Owner: Center City Housing	Three Year Inspection
San Marco (230 W 3rd Street)	Owner: Center City Housing	Three Year Inspection
Gimaajii (202 W 2nd Street)	Owner: AICHO	Three Year Inspection
Homes on the Hill (9 & 15 W 5th Street)	Owner: One Roof	Three Year Inspection
Steve O'Neil (115 W 4th Street)	Owner: Center City Housing	First Year Inspection

The Duluth HRA reports that unit and buildings have all passed the required inspection standards for the HOME Program, and are in compliance. There is a fence needing repair paint at Homes on the Hill. A "summer extension" has been requested in order to utilize Youth Works group, students who will be working in the summer and need projects.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Of the total HOME units, 65.5% reported as White; 18% Black/African American; 15% American Indian; and 1.5% multi-racial. The Tenant-Based Rental Assistance program vouchers served 40% non-white persons; 17% of homebuyers were American Indian; and 14% of homeowner rehab recipients. Non-white persons are benefiting from HOME assistance at a much higher rate than their percentages of Duluth's population as a whole. This shows the success of affirmative marketing activities.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

NA, Program Income was not used during the 2015 program year.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The City works closely with the Minnesota Housing Finance Agency and other state agencies in supporting affordable housing developments in Duluth. In 2015, four housing projects received State and local support for projects that will be under construction in 2016 and 2017. Lutheran Social Services is constructing the Center for Changing Lives, a building to include services for homeless youth, transitional housing and permanent supportive housing. Gateway Towers, a 150 unit high-rise apartment building primarily serving low-income Senior Citizens and persons with disabilities was at risk of foreclosure. This project has been funded for major rehabilitation to preserve these very affordable units. Nettleton School, the reuse of a surplus school building in the Central Hillside neighborhood, is planned by Sherman Associates to be renovated into a mixed market-rate and affordable rental property. The construction of new nine new homes for purchase is being developed on vacant City property on Jay Street in the Lakeside neighborhood. Four of the nine properties will be affordable. The developer is One Roof Community Housing.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	DULUTH
Organizational DUNS Number	077627883
EIN/TIN Number	416005105
Identify the Field Office	MINNEAPOLIS
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Duluth/Saint Louis County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mr
First Name	Keith
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Hamre
Suffix	0
Title	Director

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	208 City Hall
Street Address 2	411 West First Street
City	Duluth
State	MN
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	2187305480
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	khamre@duluthmn.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Mr
First Name	Adam
Last Name	Fulton
Suffix	0
Title	Manager
Phone Number	2187305325
Extension	0
Email Address	Afulton@duluthmn.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 04/01/2015
Program Year End Date 03/31/2016

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: DULUTH
City: DULUTH
State: MN
Zip Code: 55802,
DUNS Number: 077627883
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 107560.96

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	32
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	32

Table 14 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	40
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	40

Table 15 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1,041
Children	362
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	628
Total	2,031

Table 16 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1,041
Children	362
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	628
Total	2,031

Table 18 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	846
Female	549
Transgender	8
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	628
Total	2,031

Table 19 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	362
18-24	165
25 and over	876
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	628
Total	2,031

Table 20 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	95	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	628	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	1	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	483	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	129	0	0	0
Other Disability	26	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 21 – Special Population Served

D.V. Shelter

Information in this section was obtained from HMIS data. The two shelters who provide victims of domestic violence do not provide information to HMIS, therefore their information is not shown in this section. The demographic and income information for people served in the DV shelters are reported in the Public Service contracts. During the 2015 program year, Safe Haven served 516 people and AICHO

served 112 people, for total of 628. When this number is added to the people in HMIS, the total number of people served with ESG funds is 2,031.

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	331,420
Total Number of bed-nights provided	331,420
Capacity Utilization	100.00%

Table 22 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Of the nine performance standards developed in consultation with the COC's six were met. Original benchmarks created by Saint Louis County COC were set higher than ones set by HUD.

Ninety-eight percent of all participants in COC funded permanent stable housing and transitional housing achieved housing stability. The original benchmark was set by Saint Louis County at 86% in comparison to HUD's benchmark of 80%. Duluth agencies that participate in the COC ranged between 74 – 100% for this indicator.

The two benchmarks that the COC did not meet were in regards to participants in supportive services that did not achieve housing stability, participants that increased their income from entry to exit in addition to increasing income from entry to follow-up. In discussing these figures with the COC Coordinator, income benchmarks were challenging to increase because of several layoffs that were sited in case notes. Other challenges for participants in regards to income was several of the job placements that clients secured did not give enough sick time to accommodate clients with small children. Supportive services found challenges in finding enough affordable housing units that clients could obtain.

Participants in COC's transitional housing exceed their benchmark by having 87% exit to permanent housing. Eighty-four percent had been the benchmark for this indicator which matched HUD's goal.

Indicators that were achieved in 2015 were the exact same met in 2014. The three indicators based on increasing income were not met in 2014 due to similar challenges that were identified above.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	11,489	24,426	6,195
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	11,489	24,426	6,195

Table 23 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	8,804	36,753	54,790
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	8,804	36,753	54,790

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	113,006	104,550	46,300
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	113,006	104,550	46,300

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
HMIS	10,912	0	0
Administration	8,701	3,124	276
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 26 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2013	2014	2015
429,326	152,912	168,853	107,561

Table 27 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2013	2014	2015
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	118,573	151,525	109,368
Other Federal Funds	4,675,396	3,393,535	2,839,530
State Government	12,148,159	2,778,969	258,669
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	4,636,381	2,891,489	919,800
Other	4,469,475	3,893,834	1,727,323
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	26,047,984	13,109,352	5,854,690

Table 28 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2013	2014	2015
45,441,352	26,200,896	13,278,205	5,962,251

Table 29 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment

HMIS ESG 2016

Combined Report

Q5. HMIS DQ & Participation

5a. HMIS or Comparable

Database Data Quality Q5a

Data Element	Client Doesn't know or Client Refused	Data not collected
First name	10	305
Last name	10	305
SSN	183	12
Date of Birth	0	0
Race	2	2
Ethnicity	3	3
Gender	0	0
Veteran Status	0	3
Disabling condition	0	1
Residence Prior to Entry	0	1
Relationship to Head of Household	0	25
Destination	2	808
Client location for project entry	0	9
Length of Time on Street, in ES or SH	37	191

Combined Report

Q7a. Households Served

7a. Number of Households Served Q7a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
Total Households	1005	834	167	4	0

7b. Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday

Q7b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
January	102	51	48	3	0
April	64	23	40	1	0
July	88	37	49	2	0
October	84	34	48	2	0

Q9. Contacts and Engagements

9a. Number of Persons Contacted Q9a

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
a1. Contacted once?	0	0	0	0	0
a2. Contacted 2-5 times?	2	1	0	0	1
a3. Contacted 6-9 times?	0	0	2	0	0
a4. Contacted 10 or more times?	2	0	0	0	0
az. Total persons contacted	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

10b. Gender of Children Q10b

	Total	a. With children and adults	b. With only children	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	188	182	6	0
b. Female	171	163	8	0
c. Transgender male to female	9	4	1	0
d. Transgender female to male	6	0	1	1
e. Other	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	359	349	16	2

10c. Gender of Persons
Missing Age Information Q10c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Male	0	0	0	0	0
b. Female	0	0	0	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	1	1	0
e. Other	5	3	0	2	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	5	1	3
g. Information missing	1	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0

Combined Report

Q12. Race & Ethnicity

12a. Race

Q12a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. White	693	486	208	1	0
b. Black or African-American	321	159	156	5	0
c. Asian	6	6	0	0	0
d. American Indian or Alaska Native	270	144	124	2	0
e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	8	3	5	0	0
f. Multiple races	110	45	60	5	0
g. Don't know / refused	1	1	2	0	0
h. Information missing	3	4	2	1	0
i. Total	1396	839	545	14	0

12b. Ethnicity

Q12b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Non-Hispanic/non-Latino	1368	821	531	14	0
b. Hispanic/Latino	34	19	15	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	2	3	4	1	0
d. Information missing	3	0	3	0	0
e. Total	1396	838	544	14	0

Combined Report

13c1. Physical and Mental Health

Conditions of Stayers Q13c1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	62	36	25	1	0
b. Alcohol abuse	11	10	1	0	0
c. Drug abuse	5	3	2	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	18	8	12	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	0	0	0	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	6	3	3	0	0
g. Developmental disability	5	1	3	3	0
h. Physical disability	15	8	6	1	0

Q14. Domestic Violence

14a. Persons with Domestic Violence History

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	254	166	88	0	0
b. No	788	675	115	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	1	0	1	0	0
e. Total	1038	838	200	0	0

14b. Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	28	7	21	0	0
b. No	89	66	23	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	4	1	3	0	0
d. Information missing	137	93	43	1	0
e. Total	249	167	87	1	0

Combined Report

c. Other locations					
c01. PH for homeless persons	2	2	0	0	0
c02. Owned by client, no subsidy	6	4	2	3	0
c03. Owned by client, with subsidy	3	0	0	0	0
c04. Rental by client, no subsidy	64	43	21	0	0
c05. Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	1	1	0	4	0
c06. Rental by client, with GPC TIP subsidy	5	1	0	0	0
c07. Rental by client, with other subsidy	19	16	3	0	0
c08. Hotel or motel paid by client	78	62	16	0	0
c09. Staying or living with friend(s)	136	80	56	0	0
c10. Staying or living with family	253	212	41	0	0
c11. Other	6	3	3	0	0
c12. Don't know / refused	2	0	0	0	0
c13. Information missing	1	0	1	0	0
cz. Total	559	420	139	0	0
d. Total	1039	838	200	5	0

Q20. Non-Cash Benefits

20a. Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources Q20a

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	435	0	355
b. WIC	31	0	28
c. TANF Child Care services	3	0	3
d. TANF transportation services	4	0	4
e. Other TANF-funded services	3	0	3
f. Other source	77	0	63

Combined Report

Q22. Length of Participation

Q22a2. Length of Participation—ESG projects

Q22a2

	Total	Leavers	Stayers
a. 0 to 7 days	422	400	22
b. 8 to 14 days	122	108	15
c. 15 to 21 days	75	67	13
d. 22 to 30 days	80	67	11
e. 31 to 60 days	166	138	28
f. 61 to 90 days	120	97	32
g. 91 to 180 days	151	112	39
h. 181 to 365 days	171	107	71
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	82	52	29
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	19	3	10
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	1	0	1
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0
o. Total	1396	1135	261

Q22c. RRH Length of Time between Project Entry Date and Residential Move-in Date

Q22c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0-7 days	2	0	2	0	0
b. 8-14 days	0	0	0	0	0
c. 15-21 days	0	0	0	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	0	0	0	0	0
f. 61 to 180 days	0	0	0	0	0
g. 181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0	0
h. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
i. Data Not Collected	6	1	5	0	0
j. Total	8	1	7	0	0

Combined Report

Q23. Exit Destination –
More than 90 Days Q23

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	19	10	9	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	13	2	11	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	2	2	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	34	14	20	0	0

Combined Report

d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	0	0	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	3	0	3	0	0
dz. Total	3	0	3	0	0
e. Total	41	15	26	0	0

Combined Report

b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	12	1	11	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA IH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	2	2	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	2	1	1	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	2	0	2	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	18	4	14	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	9	2	7	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	5	0	5	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	14	2	12	0	0

Combined Report

Q23b. Homeless
Prevention Housing
Assessment at Exit Q23b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry—Without a subsidy	4	0	4	0	0
b. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry—With the subsidy they had at project entry	5	3	3	0	0
c. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry	0	0	0	0	0
d. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
e. Moved to new housing unit—With on-going subsidy	2	0	2	0	0
f. Moved to new housing unit—Without an on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
g. Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	1	0	1	0	0
h. Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	1	0	1	0	0
i. Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	1	0	1	0	0
j. Client became homeless—moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
k. Client went to jail/prison	1	0	1	0	0

Combined Report

Q24. Exit Destination --
90 Days or Less Q24

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	3
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	2	1	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
aZ. Total	0	3	2	1	0

Combined Report

d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	2	2	0	0	3
d2. Deceased	2	1	0	0	3
d3. Other	2	1	0	0	3
d4. Don't know / refused	2	1	0	0	3
d5. Information missing	2	1	0	4	5
dz. Total	4	1	0	0	0
e. Total	0	0	0	0	0

25a. Number of Veterans

Q25a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless veteran	17	15	2	0
b. Non-chronically homeless veteran	78	70	8	0
c. Not a veteran	919	756	196	0
d. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
e. Data Not Collected	3	1	2	0
f. Total	1037	838	199	0

Q26b. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household

Q26b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Chronically home ess	189	139	49	1	0
b. Not chronically homeless	1211	700	498	13	0
c. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	1393	837	542	14	0

